ORIGINAL OPEN MEETING



<u>MEMORANDUM</u>

RECEIVED

UND

Arizona Corporation Commission

THE COMMISSION

DOCKETED

2006 DEC -5 P 12: 06

FROM:

TO:

DEC - 5 2006

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

DATE:

December 5, 2006

Utilities Division

DOCKETED BY

RE:

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION FOR TACNA WATER MANAGEMENT COMPANY'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF AN ARSENIC REMOVAL SURCHARGE TARIFF (DOCKET NOS. W-01344A-05-0183 AND W-01344A-05-0647)

Introduction

On October 24, 2006, pursuant to Decision No. 68658, Tacna Water Management Services Company ("Tacna" or "Company") filed a request with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") for approval of an arsenic removal surcharge as shown on Table A.

Background

On January 23, 2001, the United States Environmental Protection Agency reduced the drinking water standard for arsenic from 50 parts per billion ("ppb") to 10 ppb. All community water systems and non-transient non-community water systems needed to comply with the new federal rule by the January 23, 2006 deadline.

On April 12, 2006, in Decision No. 68658, Tacna Water Management Company was authorized a rate increase, financing approval, and an arsenic remedial surcharge mechanism ("ARSM"). Decision No. 68658 directed the Company to file an application for approval of an arsenic removal surcharge tariff that would enable the Company to meet its principal and interest obligations on the actual amount of the loan and pay income taxes on the surcharges.

On June 30, 2006, the Company filed a request for an extension of time to make certain compliance filings required by Decision No. 68658.

On October 17, 2006, a Procedural Order was issued directing the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") to file, by November 1, 2006, a response to the Company's request for an extension of time. On October 25, 2006, Staff filed a memorandum recommending extending to December 1, 2006, a requirement of Decision No. 68658 for the Company to file a copy of the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality Certificate of Approval to Construct. Staff's memorandum further recommended extending to December 31, 2006, a requirement of Decision No. 68658 for the Company to either file copies of its corrected tax returns for the last three years or documentation of its corporate dissolution.

THE COMMISSION December 5, 2006 Page 2

On October 24, 2006, the Company filed an application for an arsenic remedial surcharge tariff for all customer classes. Staff concludes that the Company's proposed surcharges shown on Table A are correctly calculated in accordance to the methodology approved in Decision No. 68658 based on the terms of its Water Infrastructure Financing Authority ("WIFA") loan. The Company filed a copy of its signed loan documents on October 24, 2006.

Staff recommends approval of an arsenic surcharge as shown on Table A.

Staff further recommends that the Company file a tariff consistent with Table A explaining the terms and conditions of the arsenic remedial surcharge within 30 days of the effective date of the decision resulting from this proceeding.

Staff further recommends that Tacna notify its customers of the Arsenic Remedial Surcharge tariff within 30 days of the effective date of the decision resulting from this proceeding.

Ernest G. Johnson

Director

Utilities Division

EGJ:CSB:lhm/RM

Originator: Crystal Brown

TABLE A

Arsenic Remedial Monthly Surcharge Per Meter

5/8 Inch x 3/4 Inch Meter	\$ 6.78
³ / ₄ Inch Meter	\$ 10.17

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

1	DEFORE THE AMEDIA COM COMMISSION		
2	JEFF HATCH-MILLER		
3	Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL		
4	Commissioner MIKE GLEASON		
5	Commissioner KRISTIN K. MAYES		
6	Commissioner BARRY WONG		
7	Commissioner		
8	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)	DOCKET NO. W-01344A-05-0183	
9	OF TACNA WATER MANAGEMENT \		
	COMPANY FOR AN INCREASE IN RATES (
10			
11	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION	DOCKET NO. W-01344A-05-0647	
12	OF TACNA WATER MANAGEMENT) COMPANY FOR FINANCING APPROVAL ?	DECISION NO.	
13	OF A FINANCE LOAN TO IMPROVE ITS { OPERATING SYSTEM }	ORDER	
14	OPERATINGSISTEM	ARSENIC REMOVAL SURCHARGE	
15		TARIFF	
16			
17	Open Meeting December 19 and 20, 2006		
18	Phoenix, Arizona		
19	BY THE COMMISSION:		
20	INTRODUCTION		
21	Pursuant to Decision No. 68658, dated April 12, 2006, Tacna Water Management		
22	Company ("Tacna" or "Company") filed an application requesting approval of an arsenic removal		
23	surcharge tariff. The average residential customer bill (3/4-inch meter) would increase by		
24	approximately \$10.17 (or 49.44 percent) from \$17.01 to \$25.42.		
25	On January 23, 2001, the United States Environmental Protection Agency reduced the		
26	drinking water standard for arsenic from 50 parts per billion ("ppb") to 10 ppb. All community		
27	water systems and non-transient non-community water systems needed to comply with the new		

federal rule by the January 23, 2006 deadline.

28

On April 12, 2006, in Decision No. 68658, Tacna Water Management Company was authorized a rate increase, financing approval, and an arsenic removal surcharge mechanism. Decision No. 68658 directed the Company to file an application for approval of an arsenic removal surcharge tariff that would enable the Company to meet its principal and interest obligations on the actual amount of the loan and pay income taxes on the surcharges.

On June 30, 2006, the Company filed a request for an extension of time to make certain compliance filings required by Decision No. 68658.

On October 17, 2006, a Procedural Order was issued directing the Arizona Corporation Commission's ("Commission") Utilities Division ("Staff") to file, by November 1, 2006, a response to the Company's request for an extension of time. On October 25, 2006, Staff filed a memorandum recommending extending to December 1, 2006, a requirement of Decision No. 68658 for the Company to file a copy of the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality of Certificate of Approval to Construct. Staff's memorandum further recommended extending to December 31, 2006, a requirement of Decision No. 68658 for the Company to either file copies of its corrected tax returns for the last three years or documentation of its corporate dissolution.

On October 24, 2006, the Company filed an application for an arsenic removal surcharge tariff for all customer classes. Staff concluded that the Company's proposed surcharges shown on Table A were correctly calculated in accordance to the methodology approved in Decision No. 68658 based on the terms of its Water Infrastructure Financing Authority ("WIFA") loan.

The Company docketed a copy of its signed WIFA loan documents on October 24, 2006.

On November 14, 2006, the Company's request for an extension of time was granted.

* * * * * * * * * *

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Tacna is a certificated Class E water utility which serves approximately 152 customers in Yuma County, Arizona.

Decision No.

1 2

3

5

6 7

8

9

11

1213

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2. The Company seeks an arsenic remedial surcharge tariff in this proceeding authorizing the monthly surcharges as shown on Table A to aid the Company in its efforts to comply with the Environmental Protection Agency's ("EPA") new arsenic maximum contaminant level of 10 particles per billion ("ppb") which became effective January 23, 2006.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Company is a public water service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§40-250 and 40-252.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over the Company and of the subject matter of the application.
- 3. Approval of an arsenic surcharge is consistent with the Commission's authority under the Arizona Constitution, Arizona ratemaking statutes, and applicable case law.
- 4. It is in the public interest to approve the Company's request for approval of an arsenic remedial surcharge tariff.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application by Tacna for approval of an arsenic remedial surcharge as shown on Table A to service the debt necessary to complete the arsenic treatment investment is approved.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Company file a tariff consistent with Table A explaining the terms and conditions of the arsenic remedial surcharge, as a compliance item in this docket, within 30 days of the effective date of this decision.

...

. . .

...

||...

Decision No.

Docket Nos. W-01344A-05-0183, et al. Page 4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Tacna shall notify its customers in a form acceptable to 1 Staff, of the arsenic removal surcharge tariff approved herein within 30 days of the effective date 2 of this Decision. 3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately. 4 5 BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 6 7 8 CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER 9 10 11 **COMMISSIONER** COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER 12 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive 13 Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this 14 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this day of ______, 2006. 15 16 17 18 BRIAN C. McNEIL **Executive Director** 19 20 DISSENT: 21 22 DISSENT: 23 EGJ:CSB:lhm\RM 24 25 26 27 28 Decision No.

28

SERVICE LIST FOR: Tacna Water Management Company 1 DOCKET NOS. W-01344A-05-0183, et al. 2 3 Mr. Don Kelland Tacna Water Management Company 4 2993 South Arizona Avenue Yuma, Arizona 85364 5 6 Mr. Ernest G. Johnson Director, Utilities Division 7 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007 9 Mr. Christopher C. Kempley 10 Chief Counsel Arizona Corporation Commission 11 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

Decision No.

TABLE A

Arsenic Remedial Monthly Surcharge Per Meter

5/8 Inch x 3/4 Inch Meter \$ 6.78

³/₄ Inch Meter \$ 10.17

1 Inch Meter \$ 16.95

1 ½ Meter \$ 33.90

2 Inch Meter \$ 54.24

3 Inch Meter \$101.70

4 Inch Meter \$169.50

6 Inch Meter \$339.00

Decision No.